COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

EXCEP	TIONS	DOCUMENT
Dana 4	-10	

Division:	Chapter:
Valley	8
Lawrence	Date: 04/02/2010
	Valley

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forward to:" entention on the shall be utilized to document inno	er the next ovative pra	in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter t level of command where the document ctices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.	
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection:		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No		rd to: Division ate: 04/14/2010			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regard	rding Ir	nnovative Practices:	有持有		
Area maintains multiple records for all reimbursable overtime expenses and CHP 735 data. Contested 735 are located in a special section of the CHP 735 binder.					
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	le Improvement:			
Further automation to link applicable 415's, 735's and all appropriate reports (202's -555's) should be explored.					
Inspector's Findings:					
Area is in compliance with will all Departmental policies.					
Commander's Response:	Concu	r or 🗌 Do Not Concur (Do N	Not Conc	ur shall document basis for response)	

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Grass Valley	Valley	8
Inspected by: Sgt. M.	A. Lawrence	Date: 04/02/2010

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Grass Valley	Division: Valley	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. M	. A. Lawrence	Date: 04/02/2010

2	
Required Action: None	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 4/14/242
F-	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	4-2-2010
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	RÉVIÉWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE OS/22/10

1 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

Command:	Division: Valley	Number: 230		
Grass Valley				
Evaluated by:	Date:			
Sgt. M. A. Lawi	04/02/2010			
Assisted by:	Date:			
OA J. Mahaffey, #A83	04/02/2010			

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspector's Signature:			
☐ Divisi	ion Level	☑ Command Level				
☐ Office	e of Inspections	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	11	16	Mil	Mez
	ow-up Required: Yes ⊠ No	Follow-Up Inspection BY:	Commander's Signature: Date: 4/14/20			
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 20.						
	"Yes" response indicates ed for explanation.	full compliance with policy. It	fa "No" or	"N/A" box i	s checked	I, the "Remarks" section shall
1. [6 F	Does the command have ensure that a CHP 735, In Reimbursement Statemer arrest that meets the cost	cident Response nt, is prepared for each	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
2. V	What are these procedure The responsibility for ensure Processing E	es? uring a CHP 735 in generated Employee" provides a backup				
	Does the command have assigned to process all Characteristics		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
th	he responsibility of proces	of this checklist is yes, is sing all CHP 735 forms on or any other document?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

	Ma cri	e all CHP 735 forms forwarded to Fiscal anagement Section (FMS) properly with completed teria in either Section A or Section B of the form?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	to me Inf inv	pes the command have a suspense system in place facilitate notification of a conviction involving cases beeting the requirements of the Driving Under the fluence (DUI) Cost Recovery Program? This would volve cases where the following criteria applies: A Blood Alcohol Content (BAC) under .08% A chemical test is positive for drugs only There is no supporting BAC test of drug test (i.e., a refusal)	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Suspense system in place to contact the appropriate laboratory when results have not been received in a timely basis.
	of wit	e CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria Section A of the form being forwarded to FMS thin ten business days from one of the following tes? The date of BAC results of =.08% were received	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	•	The date of BAC results of =.04% were received for a commercial driver				
	of wit cor 23	e CHP 735 forms completed based on the criteria Section B of the form being forwarded to FMS hin ten business days from being notified of a nviction of California Vehicle Sections 23152 or 153, or greater offence as a result of one of the lowing? The person arrested refused to provide a chemical test The arrest was for drugs only A BAC of < .08% was obtained	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
•	cor 11. inc	the Itemized Staff Hours section of the CHP 735 mpleted as required in Highway Patrol Manual 1, Administrative Procedures Manual, and ludes hours for all employees assigned to the ident?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: A415 copies now attached
	ent Re to f	he person arrested is transient, is the case being tered into the CHP 735A, Case Log-DUI Cost covery Program, without forwarding the CHP 735 FMS?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None
		e staff hours involved in the incident recorded on CHP 735 to the nearest ten minutes?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
1	. CH	the total number of staff hours charged on the P 735 agree with the appropriate CHP 415, Daily ld Record?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: A415 copies now attached

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

13	Does the Notes portion of the CHP 415 indicate the billable DUI time when the CHP 415 includes more	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14.	than one activity? Are the staff hours incurred by members of the Department for the following activities associated with an incident meeting the criteria for DUI cost recovery included in the CHP 735? Response Time On-Scene Investigation Follow-up Investigation Report Writing Vehicle Storage Call Back Field Sobriety Testing Transportation Booking Chemical Testing Traffic Control	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15.	Are the staff hours for officers-in-charge, sergeants, lieutenants, or captains listed on the CHP 735 for time spent performing the activities listed in question 12 of this checklist and not exclusively supervisory tasks?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Incident specific tasks only
16.	Is the current hourly rate for reimbursement, sent out to all commands via Comm-Net from FMS, being used?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is a copy of the CHP 735 being retained at the command and filed?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18.	Is the command utilizing the, optional, CHP 735A to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19.	In the absence of a CHP 735A, how is the command tra	acking the	DUI Cost F	Recovery I	Program?
20.	Are commands using a case monitoring system to track cases qualifying for the DUI Cost Recovery Program including the following information in the monitoring system? Defendant Information Violation Information Court Information FMS Information RAC test results	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: JUS 8715 tracking is part of this system.

4 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND DUI COST RECOVERY

	Are cases not resulting in a conviction within 12 months after submission to the District Attorney closed out after court verification of case status?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Do closed out cases on the monitoring system have a line drawn through the Conviction Date and Date to FMS as well as the reason the case was closed and date of last follow-up check?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are refunds or overpayments, as a result of erroneous charges, in an amount of = \$5.00 being processed by the Department?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the command reviewing the quarterly reports sent by FMS related to the submission of CHP 735 forms and case status identifying any deficiencies in the submission and accountability of the DUI Cost Recovery Program?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
Questio	on 25 pertains to Fiscal Management Section.			DAVE VALUE	
	Is FMS reviewing the CHP 735 forms for completeness of information and returning deficient forms to the issuing command for corrections?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

		Number: 230
Command:	ommand: Division: Valley	
Grass Valley		
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Sgt. M. A. Lawre	04/02/2010	
Assisted by:	Date:	
OA J. Mahaffey, #A834	04/02/2010	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any "No" answers, discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspector's Signature:			
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		MCS				
☐ Office of Inspections ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection		Me Mulle				
Follow-up Required:		Commander & Signature: Ca A. Whatee Signature: Date: 4/14/2010				
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6.			· VVA	ng vo		
Note: A "Yes" response indicate be utilized for explanation.	res full compliance with policy, I	f a "No" or	"N/A" box	is checked	d, the "Remarks" section shall	
Prior to the performand contracting party information.	ee of services, is the ned of the rates charged for equipment usage, and	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Cost Estimates given	
Does the billing rate inc expenses such as uniform	orm or equipment damage?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
3. When a safety service agency, is the agency's obtained?	is provided to another state	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years.	
Is the billing code docu Services Billing Memor	mented on the Reimbursable andum?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
assigned to the detail it	n CHP uniformed employee f the cancellation notification is r to the scheduled service?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
6. Is a minimum payment when employee(s) cou cancellation of their ser	of 4 hours overtime charged d not be notified of the	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
7. Is information regarding necessary right-of-way	the procedures to obtain clearances or permits, local r pertinent information made	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Are written requests for the appropriate comma	specific services directed to and?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Are traffic control service approved by Division?		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
10. Are traffic control service	ces estimated to be \$50,000 or Office of the Commissioner?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	
	ctive services approved by the	☐Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

Questions 12 through 17 pertain to collecting advance deposits.					
12. Is a Reimbursable Services Agreement (RSA) log number requested from Division for every contract?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
13. Is a CHP 465 form completed in accordance with policy?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
14. Are advance payments collected from the contracting company prior to the start of the service?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks: Check or Credit Card	
15. Is a CHP 251 prepared and mailed to the contracting company upon receipt of advance payments?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
16. Is a CHP 467 prepared and submitted to the Fiscal Management Section upon completion of the contractual service(s)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
17. Is a copy of the CHP 465 attached to the weekly CHP 230, and if applicable, a CHP 169?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Questions 18 through 31 pertain to the preparation of agree	ements.				
18. Is a CHP 466 maintained?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
19. Do RSA numbers begin with the letter "R" to denote reimbursable services, followed by two digit fiscal year, three digit location code, and a sequential number for each agreement?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
20. Is the CHP 466 closed out at the end of each fiscal year with a new log implemented on July 1 beginning with the sequential number 001?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
21. Are all sequential numbers accounted for when reconciling with the Billing Memorandum?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Division	
Are sequential numbers not matching Billing Memorandums reconciled?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Division	
23. Is the original RSA signed and filed at Area?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
24. Does the command proceed with all RSA arrangements, and if needed, ensure the requestor has obtained the necessary right-of-way, clearances, and permits?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
25. Is the indemnification clause included in the agreement when requested?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	
26. Is the inclusion of the indemnification clause approved by the Department of General Services, Office of Legal Services?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	
27. If the service is over \$50,000 per occasion, is a CHP 78R prepared and submitted to Contract Services Unit?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	
28. Is a copy of the resolution, order, motion, or ordinance of the local governing body obtained when one of the contracting parties is a county, city, district, or other local public body?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	
29. Are dignitary protection services referred to the Office of Dignitary Protection?	□Yes	Пио	⊠ N/A	Remarks: None in past 5 years	

3 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8

COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

30	Are CHP 312 forms, CHP 313 forms, and CHP 467 forms prepared when a statewide agreement is in effect?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	When state agencies are requesting a statewide agreement, are they referred to Enforcement Services Division, Field Support Section?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
Quest	ons 32 through 37 pertain to training agreement pro	cedures a	nd reporti	ng for se	rvices provided.
32.	Are the original CHP 467 and contract agreement submitted to Fiscal Management Section (FMS) upon completion of services (other than COZEEP, MAZEEP, extraordinary protective services, and special projects) within 5 days?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
33.	Are copies of CHP 467 forms forwarded to the next level of review?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
34.	Is the date when the Billing Memorandum was sent to FMS noted on the Reimbursable Services Control Log?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
35.	Is a copy of the command's Reimbursable Services Control Log forwarded or e-mailed to the Division Coordinator at the end of each month?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Email confirmation provided for vacated contract numbers
36.	Is the Reimbursable Services Control Log verified with the copies of the Billing Memorandums to ensure all reimbursable time has been reported to FMS for billing purposes?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are outstanding items being inspected and resolved?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	ons 38 through 51 pertain to extraordinary protectiv I projects.	e services	s and repo	rt of over	time hours for reimbursable
	Is a copy of the CHP 467 and CHP 465 submitted to FMS upon completion of extraordinary protective services?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
39.	Is a reimbursable special project code obtained on every contractual service?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
40.	Is the overtime report(s) for reimbursable special project(s) used to reconcile CHP 415 forms for each special project?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
41.	Are the special project codes on the overtime report(s) verified to ensure the correct special project code has been used?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
42.	Are all corrections noted on the overtime report(s)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
43.	Are overtime reports approved and dated by the commander after reconciling?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Commander or Designee
	Is the original overtime report(s) forwarded to FMS?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is a copy of the overtime report forwarded to Division by the 10 th of the month (except COZEEP/MAZEEP)?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
46.	Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports forwarded to	⊠ Yes	Пио	□ N/A	Remarks: Spreadsheet e-mailed

4 of 4

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

CHAPTER 8
COMMAND REIMBURSABLE SERVICES

47. Are all COZEEP/MAZEEP reports approved by Division and forwarded to FMS by the 30 th of the month?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
48. Is a copy of the CHP 71 attached to the overtime report(s) when there are reimbursable nonuniformed personnel hours?	I ⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
49. Is an amendment of service agreement requested prior to the fund being depleted, and if necessary, is the service discontinued?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
50. Are all payments made directly to FMS?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
51. Does the command require delinquent companies to pay outstanding invoices in full prior to providing any future services?		☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: